

PRESENTERS



William Akel, Simpson Grierson, Auckland

William is a well known media lawyer. He has appeared in many of the leading cases in defamation, reporting of the courts, privacy, breach of confidence, and media standards in the High Court, Court of Appeal and Supreme Court. William also has a diverse civil and commercial practice.



Steven Price, Barrister, Wellington

Steven is a barrister specialising in media law and the author of Media Minefield, a guidebook to media regulation in New Zealand. He also lectures in the topic at Victoria University of Wellington, and New Zealand country reporter for the Open Government Partnership. Steven writes a blog called MediaLawJournal in which he discusses issues of media law and ethics.



Robert Stewart, Izard Weston, Wellington

Robert heads up Izard Weston's media law team. He is the principal external legal adviser to Fairfax Media. Robert's principal areas of practice are media law and litigation. He appeared for Fairfax when it successfully defended contempt proceedings for the publication of The Terrorism Files and more recently overturned an interim name suppression order granted to Police injured during the armed siege at Kowari in March 2016.

The statements and conclusions contained in this booklet are those of the author(s) only and not those of the New Zealand Law Society. This booklet has been prepared for the purpose of a Continuing Legal Education course. It is not intended to be a comprehensive statement of the law or practice, and should not be relied upon as such. If advice on the law is required, it should be sought on a formal, professional basis.

Cover and text stocks used in this publication are from Forestry Stewardship Council certified mills, manufactured under the environmentally responsible paper manufactured environmental management system ISO 14001, using pulp from well managed forests and other controlled sources.

CONTENTS

1. SUPPRESSION	1
THE PUBLICITY PRINCIPLE.....	1
STATUTORY EXCEPTIONS TO THE PUBLICITY PRINCIPLE.....	2
CRIMINAL PROCEEDINGS.....	2
<i>Clearing the court – Exclusion orders</i>	2
<i>Prohibiting publication – Suppression orders</i>	3
SPECIFIC SUPPRESSION ISSUES	4
<i>Can s 205 be used to suppress the nature of the charges themselves?</i>	4
<i>Can a suppression order be avoided by detaching the suppressed information from its procedural context?</i>	4
NAME SUPPRESSION	5
<i>Automatic name suppression</i>	5
<i>Discretionary name suppression</i>	6
THRESHOLD FACTORS	8
<i>Extreme hardship</i>	8
<i>Undue hardship</i>	9
<i>Risk of prejudice to a fair trial</i>	9
<i>Endanger the safety of any person</i>	10
EXERCISING THE DISCRETION	10
<i>Starting point – Open justice</i>	10
<i>For and against suppression</i>	12
OTHER ISSUES	14
<i>First appearance</i>	14
<i>Victims’ views</i>	14
<i>Power of Registrar</i>	14
<i>Reasons</i>	14
<i>Notification of suppression orders</i>	14
DURATION AND TERMINATION.....	15
<i>Appeals</i>	15
<i>Breach</i>	15
<i>Defences</i>	15
<i>No publication where persons have a genuine interest in suppressed information</i>	16
<i>Parliamentary privilege</i>	17
<i>Naming defendant before court appearance</i>	17
<i>Online publication</i>	18
<i>Inherent jurisdiction</i>	18
<i>Death and suppression</i>	20
MISCELLANEOUS STATUTORY POWERS TO SUPPRESS	22
OTHER ISSUES CONCERNING STATUTORY PROVISIONS	22
<i>Does breach give a right to sue?</i>	22
<i>The media’s right to be heard on matters relating to suppression</i>	23
<i>Futility</i>	23
CIVIL PROCEEDINGS	25
2. CONTEMPT OF COURT	29
INTRODUCTION	29
CIVIL V CRIMINAL	30
BEHAVING BADLY.....	30
MATTERS BEFORE THE COURTS.....	31
WHEN IS A MATTER <i>SUB JUDICE</i> ?	32
THE NATURE OF THE RISK	32
TIME AND PLACE.....	35
DANGEROUS MATERIAL	36
SAFER MATERIAL	38
SCANDALISING THE COURT	38
<i>General Principles</i>	38
LITIGANTS/WITNESSES/JURORS.....	40
FINAL REMARKS	42

3. PRIVACY AND THE MEDIA: RECENT DEVELOPMENTS.....	43
INTRODUCTION	43
NEW ZEALAND	45
<i>Hosking v Runting</i>	45
<i>Brown v Attorney-General</i>	46
<i>Andrews v TVNZ</i>	46
<i>A v Fairfax New Zealand Limited & other media defendants</i>	47
<i>Clague v APN News & Media Ltd</i>	48
<i>Chatwin v APN News and Media Ltd</i>	48
<i>C v Holland</i>	49
<i>Faesenkloet v Jenkin</i>	49
<i>Slater v APN New Zealand Ltd & Ors</i>	50
<i>Privacy and Open Justice</i>	51
<i>Broadcasting Standards; The Press Council; and OMSA</i>	53
<i>The news media exemption under the Privacy Act 1993</i>	57
OTHER JURISDICTIONS	58
<i>United Kingdom</i>	59
<i>PJS v News Group Newspapers Ltd</i>	59
<i>Representative Claimants v MGN Ltd</i>	62
<i>Re JR38's application for judicial review</i>	62
<i>Rhodes v Opo</i>	63
<i>PNM v Times Newspapers Ltd</i>	64
<i>ERY v Associated Newspapers Ltd</i>	66
<i>Weller v Associated Newspapers Ltd</i>	69
<i>Commissioner of Police of the Metropolis; Serious Organised Crime Agency v Times Newspapers Ltd and Gillard</i>	70
<i>Axon v Ministry of Defence</i>	71
<i>Hannon v News Group Newspapers Ltd</i>	73
<i>Independent Press Standards Organisation in the UK</i>	74
EUROPE.....	74
<i>Google Spain v Gonzalez</i>	75
<i>Couderc v France</i>	76
CANADA	79
AUSTRALIA	81
UNITED STATES	82
CONCLUSION.....	85
4. DEFAMATION ISSUES.....	87
BACKGROUND TO QUALIFIED PRIVILEGE.....	88
<i>Malice</i>	88
LANGE CASE	89
New “malice”.....	89
A QUALIFIED PRIVILEGE DEFENCE FOR PUBLICATIONS IN THE PUBLIC INTEREST?	90
<i>Reynolds in New Zealand?</i>	91
<i>Extension of Lange?</i>	92
<i>Interest-and-duty?</i>	93
<i>Conclusion</i>	95
MALICE – DOES THE LANGE STANDARD APPLY ACROSS THE BOARD?	96
<i>The Court of Appeal's decision in Lange</i>	97
<i>Subsequent cases</i>	98
<i>Public audiences</i>	99
LANGE MALICE – WHAT DOES IT MEAN? AND WHAT DOES IT MEAN FOR NON-MEDIA DEFENDANTS?	100
DEFENCE AGAINST ATTACK PRIVILEGE	101
<i>Sword or shield?</i>	101
<i>A “reasonable” response?</i>	102
<i>Test for malice?</i>	102
<i>Must the attack be defamatory?</i>	102
<i>Width of response</i>	103
NEUTRAL REPORTAGE.....	103
OTHER NEW ZEALAND DEFAMATION DEVELOPMENTS	105
<i>A new threshold of seriousness?</i>	105

HONEST OPINION: DEFENDANT’S OPINION.....	107
ONLINE DEFAMATION: RESPONSIBILITY FOR THIRD PARTY STATEMENTS.....	108
5. MEDIA COMPLAINTS BODIES	110
PRESS COUNCIL	110
<i>What’s new</i>	111
SOME COMMENTS ON THE PRESS COUNCIL’S APPROACH TO THE MAIN STANDARDS	112
FINAL POINTS.....	114
THE BROADCASTING STANDARDS AUTHORITY	114
<i>What’s new</i>	115
SOME COMMENTS ON THE BSA’S APPROACH TO THE MAIN STANDARDS.....	116
FINAL COMMENTS.....	119
THE ONLINE MEDIA STANDARDS AUTHORITY.....	119